UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/754,362	01/08/2004	James Weldon	1001.2209101	209101 7606	
	7590 08/13/200 SEAGER & TUFTE, L	EXAMINER			
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			MASHACK, MARK F		
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55403-2420	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3773		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			54,362	WELDON ET AL.				
			niner	Art Unit				
		MAR	K MASHACK	3773				
	IAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears o	n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	;			
Period for Reply								
WHICHEVEF - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F R IS LONGER, FROM THE N me may be available under the provision DNTHS from the mailing date of this com- reply is specified above, the maximum s within the set or extended period for repl red by the Office later than three months erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE O s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. statutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUNICATION no event, however, may a reply be tire and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>08 April 200</i>	79 .					
2a)⊠ This ac	• •	2b)⊠ This action						
′=								
closed	in accordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex part</i> e	e Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of C	claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-3,5-16,19 and 20</u> is/are	pending in the ap	olication.					
	he above claim(s) is/a							
5)☐ Claim(s	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-16,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)∐ Claim(s	s) is/are objected to.							
8)∐ Claim(s	s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electi	on requirement.					
Application Pap	ers							
9)∏ The spe	ecification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)☐ The dra	wing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted	or b) objected to by the □	Examiner.				
Applica	nt may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing	g(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replace	ement drawing sheet(s) includin	g the correction is re	equired if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).			
11)∐ The oat	h or declaration is objected t	to by the Examine	r. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
	rledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
·—	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	attached detailed Office action	•		ed.				
200								
Attachment(s)								
	rences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draft	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Dis Paper No(s)/M	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	αιστι πρριτσαιιστι				

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to a communication dated 4/8/2009. Claims 1-3, 5-16, and 19-20 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/8/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Applicant argues regarding the combination of **Strecker** and **Hlavka** that "one of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to incur signification additional mechanical operational complexity in order to obtain a result which **Strecker** obtains with a single securing means". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner asserts that one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate the desirability of an *improved* securement and fixation of an implant to a vascular wall even if it requires increased mechanical complexity. Examiner asserts that the fixation component taught by **Hlavka** is an improvement over the fixation component disclosed by **Strecker**.
- 3. Applicant argues "Moss does not appear to provide a longitudinal slot extending a length of the delivery channel... for the simple reason that at no time are the first and second fixation members of the fixation component in question both contained within the delivery member of Moss". Examiner contends that this a moot point. Examiner contends that Moss merely teaches of providing a slot in a delivery system to accommodate a suture that connects two fixation members. Examiner contends that this is an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Strecker in view of Hlavka to accommodate the suture without damaging it.

Art Unit: 3773

4. Applicant argues that "the balloon catheter **210** appears to be incapable of providing the restraint which the Examiner proposes". Applicant goes further by questioning the inner sheath and releasable fixation member proposed by the examiner. First of all, Applicant misconstrues the Examiner's motivation. The combination of the inner sheath and releasable fixation member would provide the restraint. Element **210** of **Miller** can be considered an "inner sheath" because of the obvious modification of a guide wire lumen. Element **235** of **Miller** can be considered "a releaseable fixation member" because it fixes and restrains the vascular graft to the distal end of the inner sheath.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3773

7. Claims 1-3, 5-9,16, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strecker (US 6,416,522) in view of Hlavka et al. (US 2004/0172046) and Moss (US 5,085,661).

Strecker discloses the following:

Regarding Claim 1, 16, and 19-20, a fixation system for fixing an implantable device in a body cavity, comprising: an implantable device (80, 87); a plurality of resilient delivery members movable between a generally longitudinal delivery position and a radially expanded deployment position (93), the delivery members defining a delivery channel therein with a distal opening, each delivery member having a distal end formed with a blunt profile adapted to engage the implantable device (Fig 12); a fixation component slidably disposed in each of the delivery channels (95); and a pusher slidably disposed in each of the delivery channels to push the fixation component in each delivery channel (98).

Regarding Claim 2, the fixation system of claim 1 and further comprising: a delivery sheath slidable over the plurality of resilient delivery members (89).

Regarding Claim 3, the fixation system of claim 1 wherein the delivery members define the delivery channel as a closed lumen therein with the distal opening (Fig 12).

Regarding Claim 5, the fixation system of claim 1 wherein the delivery members, when in the deployed position, urge the implantable device against a wall of the body cavity (Fig 13).

Regarding Claim 6, the fixation system of claim 5 wherein the first fixation member is disposed to pierce the implantable device and a wall of the body cavity when advanced from the delivery channel by the pusher (Fig 13).

Regarding Claim 7, the fixation system of claim 6 wherein the first fixation member has a sharpened end for piercing the implantable device and body cavity wall (95).

Regarding Claim 8, the fixation system of claim 6 wherein the first and second fixation members are arranged in a generally longitudinally aligned orientation when in the delivery channel (Fig 14).

Regarding Claim 9, the fixation system of claim 8 wherein one of the first and second fixation members are releasably connected to the pusher (Fig 12).

Strecker discloses in a separate embodiment the tab and slot which provides a disconnectable connection between the fixation component and the pusher (Figure 15 Items 103 and 107). The Examiner also notes that tab and slot configurations are well known in drivers, the most common being a screw driver. Essentially, the pusher is guiding the anchor into place. A tab and slot configuration is old and well known to aid in the control of the anchor by the pusher. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Strecker's pusher and anchor to include a tab and a slot as is known in the art. Such a modification aids in controlling the anchor with the pusher.

Strecker does not disclose each fixation component comprises: a first fixation member; a second fixation member; and a tether connecting the first and second

Art Unit: 3773

fixation members. **Strecker** also does not disclose each of the delivery members having a longitudinal slot communicating with an exterior of the delivery member and extending a length of the delivery channel, wherein the tether passes through the longitudinal slot of the delivery members.

Page 6

Hlavka teaches each fixation component comprises: a first fixation member; a second fixation member; and a tether connecting the first and second fixation members (Fig 10a Items 904 and 905). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Strecker's fixation system to include Hlavka's fixation member and tether. Such a modification would allow the two members to be pulled against one another with the tissue and/or graft between them, thus securing the graft to the tissue.

Moss teaches of a similar fastener delivery system to Strecker comprising a pusher 6, first 38 and second 38' fixation components attached by a suture 46, and a delivery member 6 comprising a longitudinal slot. All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Given the teachings of Moss, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the inventions to modify the delivery member of Strecker with a longitudinal slot. Doing so would allow for the tether fixation devices to be used with a pusher delivery system.

Art Unit: 3773

8. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strecker (US 6,416,522) in view of Miller (WO 02/17797), Hlavka et al. (US 2004/0172046), and Moss (US 5,085,661).

Strecker discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above.

Strecker discloses in a separate embodiment the tab and slot which provides a disconnectable connection between the fixation component and the pusher (Figure 15 Items 103 and 107). The Examiner also notes that tab and slot configurations are well known in drivers, the most common being a screw driver. Essentially, the pusher is guiding the anchor into place. A tab and slot configuration is old and well known to aid in the control of the anchor by the pusher. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Strecker's pusher and anchor to include a tab and a slot as is known in the art. Such a modification aids in controlling the anchor with the pusher.

Strecker does not disclose an inner sheath, a releasable fixation member, and an expandable member at the distal end of the sheath.

Miller teaches an inner sheath, the plurality of delivery members being arranged generally radially about the inner sheath (Fig 12 Item 210), a releasable fixation member releasably fixing the vascular graft to a distal end of the inner sheath (Fig 16 Item 235), an expandable member expandable from a contracted position closely proximate an exterior of the delivery sheath to an expanded position urging the vascular graft against the wall of the body cavity (Fig 15 Item 210), the expandable member is positioned at a distal end of the delivery sheath (Fig 10 Item 210), and the expandable

Art Unit: 3773

member has a distal end thereof shaped in the expanded position to conform to a shape of the delivery members in the deployment position (210). The Examiner notes that the Applicant is suggesting the inner sheath is non-obvious in view of Miller. The Examiner disagrees. At the very least, it is well known to have guide wires in these systems. The guide wire would have its own lumen enclosing the guide wire to keep it stable and in position. This would be a sheathed guide wire. The device would encircle the guide wire placing the delivery members around the inner sheath. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Strecker's fixation system to include Miller's inner sheath and expandable member. Such a modification would restrain the delivery members until deployment, fix the graft until deployment preventing undesirable release, and a balloon to ensure full expansion of the graft.

Strecker does not disclose each fixation component comprises: a first fixation member; a second fixation member; and a tether connecting the first and second fixation members.

Hlavka teaches each fixation component comprises: a first fixation member; a second fixation member; and a tether connecting the first and second fixation members (Fig 10a Items 904 and 905). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Strecker's fixation system to include Hlavka's fixation member and tether. Such a modification would allow the two members to be pulled against one another with the tissue and/or graft between them, thus securing the graft to the tissue.

Strecker also does not disclose each of the delivery members having a longitudinal slot communicating with an exterior of the delivery member and extending a length of the delivery channel, wherein the tether passes through the longitudinal slot of the delivery members.

Moss teaches of a similar fastener delivery system to Strecker comprising a pusher 6, first 38 and second 38' fixation components attached by a suture 46, and a delivery member 6 comprising a longitudinal slot. All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Given the teachings of Moss, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the inventions to modify the delivery member of Strecker with a longitudinal slot. Doing so would allow for the tether fixation devices to be used with a pusher delivery system.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3773

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK MASHACK whose telephone number is (571)270-3861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Mashack/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773

Art Unit: 3773

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773